

Multidecomposition of complete graphs into cycles and claws

Research Article

Panneerselvam Lakshmanan, Ilayaraja Mani prakasam, Muthusamy Appu

Abstract: Let C_n and S_n respectively denote a cycle and star with n edges. Let K_n denote a complete graph on n vertices. In this paper, it is shown that for any non-negative integers α and β and any positive integer $n \geq 6$, there exists a decomposition of K_n into α copies of C_6 and β copies of S_3 if and only if $6\alpha + 3\beta = \binom{n}{2}$, $\beta \neq 1, 2$ when n is odd, and $\beta \geq \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$ when n is even.

2020 MSC: 05C20, 05C38

Keywords: Graph decomposition, Complete graph, Cycle, Star

1. Introduction

All graphs considered here are finite. For the standard graph-theoretic terminology the reader is referred to [9].

Let K_k denote a complete graph on k vertices. Let P_{k+1} , C_k and $S_k (\cong K_{1,k})$ respectively denote a path, cycle and star each having k edges. Further, we denote a k -cycle ie., C_k with vertices $1, 2, \dots, k$ and edges $12, 23, \dots, k-1k, k1$ by $(1, 2, \dots, k)$, and the k -star S_k with center vertex 0 , end vertices $1, 2, \dots, k$ and edges $01, 02, \dots, 0k$ by $(0; 1, 2, \dots, k)$. When $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we define $\lfloor x \rfloor = \max\{y | y \in \mathbb{Z}^+, y \leq x\}$ and $\lceil x \rceil = \min\{y | y \in \mathbb{Z}^+, y \geq x\}$.

A *decomposition* of a graph G is a partition of G into edge-disjoint subgraphs of G . If the subgraphs in the decomposition are isomorphic to either H_1 or H_2 , then it is called an $\{H_1, H_2\}$ -*decomposition* of

Panneerselvam Lakshmanan; Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Humanities, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Ramapuram Campus, Chennai-600089, Tamil Nadu, India. (email: panselneshu@gmail.com).

Ilayaraja Mani prakasam; Department of Mathematics, Sona College of Arts and Science, Salem-5, Tamil Nadu, India. (email: ilayasnow@gmail.com).

Muthusamy Appu (Corresponding Author); Department of Mathematics, Sona College of Technology, Salem-636005, Tamilnadu, India. (email: appumuthusamy@gmail.com).

G . We say that G has a $\{H_1, H_2\}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ -decomposition of G if the decomposition contains α copies of H_1 and β copies of H_2 for all possible choices of α and β . Existence of such decomposition of G for $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$ is also called multidecomposition.

Abueida and Daven [1] initiated the study of an $\{H_1, H_2\}$ -decomposition of K_n , when H_1 and H_2 are cycles and stars each with 3 edges. In [2, 3], they also proved such decomposition when both the subgraphs contains 4 edges, and $\{C_k, E_2\}$ -decomposition of product graphs, when E_2 is a matching of size 2. Moreover, Abueida and O’Neil [5] have proved the existence of $\{C_k, S_{k-1}\}$ -decomposition of λK_n , when $k = 3, 4, 5$. Abueida et al. [4] considered the existence of $\{H_1, H_2\}$ -decomposition of λK_n , when $\{H_1, H_2\}$ is a graph-pair of orders 4 and 5. Abueida and Lian [6] have obtained necessary conditions and proved that such conditions are also sufficient for $\{C_k, S_k\}$ -decomposition of K_n . Beggas et al. [10] proved the existence of $\{C_k, S_k\}$ -decomposition of λK_n .

Priyadharsini and Muthusamy [18, 20] have obtained necessary conditions and proved that such conditions are also sufficient for $\{G_n, H_n\}$ -factorization of λK_n , $\lambda K_{n,n}$, when $G_n, H_n \in \{C_n, P_n, S_n\}$. The same authors [19] have obtained necessary conditions and proved such conditions are sufficient for the existence of $\{G_n, H_n\}$ -decomposition of λK_n .

Lee and Chu [16] have proved the existence of $\{P_{k+1}, S_k\}$ -decomposition of $K_{n,n}$. Further, Lee [15] and Lin [17] respectively investigated the decomposition of $K_{m,n}$ and $K_{n,n} - I$ into cycles and stars. Shyu [22–24, 26] considered and proved the existence of $\{P_{k+1}, S_k\}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ -decomposition of K_n and $K_{m,n}$ for some values of k . Jeevadoss and Muthusamy [13, 14] have proved the $\{P_{k+1}, C_k\}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ -decomposition of $K_{m,n}$ and $\lambda K_{m,n}$ respectively. Fu et al. [11, 12] have obtained necessary conditions and proved that such conditions are also sufficient for $\{C_k, S_3\}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ -decomposition of K_n , where $k = 3, 4$.

In [25] Shyu has proved the following:

Theorem 1.1. [25] Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$, and $n, l, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $n \geq \max\{\ell, k + 1\}$. If K_n has a $\{C_\ell, S_k\}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ -decomposition, then $\alpha\ell + \beta k = \binom{n}{2}$, $\beta \neq 1, 2$ when n is odd, and $\beta \geq \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$ when n is even.

In this paper, we have shown that necessary conditions stated in 1.1 are sufficient for $\ell = 6$ and $k = 3$.

2. Notations and preliminaries

We present some notations and well-known results that are necessary to prove our main results. Let $\{C_\ell, S_k\}$ -decomposition of a graph G denotes a decomposition of G into α copies of ℓ -cycles and β copies of k -stars. For a given graph G and for an non-negative integers $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, define $I(n) = \{\beta \mid 2\alpha + \beta = \frac{n(n-1)}{6}, \beta \neq 1, 2 \text{ for odd } n, \text{ and } \beta \geq \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil \text{ when } n \text{ is even}\}$. and $M(G) = \{\beta \mid G = \alpha C_6 \oplus \beta S_3\}$, i.e., there exist a $\{C_6, S_3\}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ decomposition of G and \mathbb{G} be the set of graphs G for which $M(G) = I(E(G))$. According to the values of n , define the $I(n)$ as follows:

- (i) If $n \equiv 0, 4, 6$ or $10 \pmod{12}$, then $I(n) = \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{n(n-1)}{6} - 2i, \text{ where } 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n(n-1)}{12} - \frac{n}{8} \right\rfloor \right\}$.
- (ii) If $n \equiv 1$ or $9 \pmod{12}$, then $I(n) = \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{n(n-1)}{6} - 2i, \text{ where } 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n(n-1)}{12} \right\rfloor \text{ and } \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}$.
- (iii) If $n \equiv 3$ or $7 \pmod{12}$, then $I(n) = \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{n(n-1)}{6} - 2i, \text{ where } 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n(n-1)-12}{12} \right\rfloor \text{ and } \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}$.

Theorem 2.1. [7, 21] Let $\ell, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then K_n has a C_ℓ -decomposition if and only if n is odd, $3 \leq \ell \leq n$ and $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2\ell}$.

Theorem 2.2. [28, 29] Let $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then K_n has a S_k -decomposition if and only if $2k \leq n$ and $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2k}$.

Theorem 2.3. [27] Let ℓ, m and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then $K_{m,n}$ has a $C_{2\ell}$ -decomposition if and only if m and n are even, $m, n \geq \ell \geq 2$ and $mn \equiv 0 \pmod{2\ell}$.

Theorem 2.4. [29] Let k, m and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $m \leq n$. There exists an S_k -decomposition of $K_{m,n}$ if and only if either (i) $k \leq m$ and $mn \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ or (ii) $m < k \leq n$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.

Theorem 2.5. [8] Let G be a forest in K_n with $|E(G)| \geq 1$. There exists a 6-cycle system of $K_n \setminus G$ if and only if (i) all vertices in G have odd degree (ii) $|E(K_n \setminus G)|$ is divisible by 6, and (iii) n is even.

3. Base constructions

In this section, we provide some useful lemmas which are required in proving our main result.

Lemma 3.1. There exists a $\{C_6, S_3\}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ -decomposition of $K_{4,6}$ with $\beta \in \{0, 8\}$

Proof. (i) $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = 0$: By 2.3, there exist four copies of C_6 .

(ii) $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 8$: By 2.4, there exist eight copies of S_3 .

Hence, $M(K_{4,6}) = \{0, 8\}$. □

Lemma 3.2. There exists a $\{C_6, S_3\}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ -decomposition of $M(K_{6,6})$ with $\beta \in \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12\}$

Proof. Let $V(K_{6,6}) = (\{1, \dots, 6\}, \{7, \dots, 12\})$.

(i) $\alpha = 6$ and $\beta = 0$: By 2.3, we get $6C_6$.

(ii) $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = 4$: The required cycles and stars are

$(1, 8, 4, 7, 3, 10), (1, 11, 4, 9, 2, 12), (2, 10, 4, 12, 3, 11), (1, 7, 2, 8, 3, 9), (5; 7, 8, 9)$

$(5; 10, 11, 12), (6; 7, 8, 9), (6; 10, 11, 12)$.

(iii) $\alpha = 3$ and $\beta = 6$: The required cycles and stars are

$(1, 8, 4, 7, 3, 10), (2, 10, 4, 12, 3, 11), (1, 7, 2, 8, 3, 9), (5; 7, 8, 10), (6; 7, 8, 9)$

$(6; 10, 11, 12), (9; 2, 4, 5), (11; 1, 4, 5), (12; 1, 2, 5)$.

(iv) $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 8$: The required cycles and stars are

$(1, 7, 3, 11, 4, 10), (1, 8, 2, 12, 3, 9), (2; 9, 10, 11), (6; 7, 8, 12), (7; 2, 4, 5),$

$(8; 3, 4, 5), (9; 4, 5, 6), (10; 3, 5, 6), (11; 1, 5, 6), (12; 1, 4, 5)$.

(v) $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 10$: The required cycles and stars are

$(1, 7, 3, 9, 2, 8), (1; 9, 10, 11), (2; 10, 11, 12), (3; 10, 11, 12), (6; 7, 8, 12),$

$(7; 2, 4, 5), (8; 3, 4, 5), (9; 4, 5, 6), (10; 4, 5, 6), (11; 4, 5, 6), (12; 1, 4, 5)$.

(vi) $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 12$: By 2.4, we get the required 12 copies S_3 .

Hence, $M(K_{6,6}) = \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12\}$. □

Lemma 3.3. $M(K_6) = I(6)$.

Proof. Since $I(6) = \{3, 5\}$. Let $V(K_6) = \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$

(i) $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 3$:

Let $C_6^1 = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ be a 6-cycle in K_6 . Then the graph $H = K_6 \setminus C_6^1$ is 3-regular. Let $S_3^1 = (1; 3, 4, 5)$ be a star in H . It is easy to see that the remaining graph $H \setminus S_3^1$ cannot be decomposed into $2S_3$.

(ii) $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 5$: By 2.2, we get five copies of S_3 .

Hence, $M(K_6) = I(6) = \{5\}$. □

Lemma 3.4. $M(K_7) = I(7)$.

Proof. Since $I(7) = \{3, 5, 7\}$. Let $V(K_7) = \{1, \dots, 7\}$. We complete the proof in three cases.

(i) $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 3$: Let D be an arbitrary C_6 and S_3 decomposition of K_7 . Suppose that

$C_6^1=(1,2,3,4,5,6)$ and $C_6^2=(2,4,6,3,5,7)$ are 2 C_6 in D . By our assumption the graph $H = K_7 \setminus E(C_6^1 \cup C_6^2)$ has an S_3 -decomposition. Let $d(x)$ be the degree of a vertex x in H . Then $d(1) = d(7) = 4$ and $d(2) = d(3) = d(4) = d(5) = d(6) = 2$. It follows that only the vertices 1 and 7 must be a center vertex of stars in D . Hence the graph H cannot be decomposed into $3S_3$.

- (ii) $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 5$: The required cycles and stars are $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$, $(1; 3, 4, 5)$, $(2; 5, 6, 7)$, $(3; 5, 6, 7)$, $(4; 2, 6, 7)$, $(7; 1, 5, 6)$.
- (iii) $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 7$: By 2.2, we get $7S_3$.
Hence, $M(K_7) = I(7) = \{3, 5, 7\}$. □

Lemma 3.5. $M(K_9) = I(9)$.

Proof. Since $I(9) = \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12\}$. Let $V(K_9) = \{1, \dots, 9\}$. We complete the proof in six cases as follows

- (i) $\alpha = 6$ and $\beta = 0$: By 2.1, we get $6C_6$.
- (ii) $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = 4$: The required cycles and stars are $(3, 6, 9, 2, 4, 8)$, $(1, 5, 8, 2, 7, 6)$, $(3, 5, 6, 8, 1, 9)$, $(1, 3, 4, 9, 8, 7)$, $(2; 1, 3, 6)$, $(4; 1, 6, 7)$, $(5; 2, 4, 9)$, $(7; 3, 5, 9)$.
- (iii) $\alpha = 3$ and $\beta = 6$: The required cycles and stars are $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$, $(1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9)$, $(2, 8, 3, 7, 4, 9)$, $(1; 5, 7, 8)$, $(2; 4, 5, 6)$, $(3; 1, 5, 6)$, $(7; 2, 5, 9)$, $(8; 4, 5, 6)$, $(9; 3, 5, 6)$.
- (iv) $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 8$: The required cycles and stars are $(1, 3, 9, 4, 8, 5)$, $(9, 2, 8, 3, 7, 5)$, $(1; 2, 6, 7)$, $(2; 3, 4, 5)$, $(3; 4, 5, 6)$, $(4; 1, 5, 7)$, $(6; 2, 4, 5)$, $(7; 2, 6, 9)$, $(8; 1, 6, 7)$, $(9; 1, 6, 8)$.
- (v) $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 10$: The required cycles and stars are $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9)$, $(1; 3, 4, 5)$, $(1; 6, 7, 8)$, $(2; 4, 5, 6)$, $(2; 7, 8, 9)$, $(3; 5, 6, 7)$, $(6; 4, 5, 7)$, $(7; 4, 5, 9)$, $(8; 3, 7, 9)$, $(8; 4, 5, 6)$, $(9; 3, 4, 6)$.
- (vi) $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 12$: By 2.2, we get $12S_3$.
Hence, $M(K_9) = I(9) = \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12\}$. □

Lemma 3.6. $M(K_{10}) = I(10)$.

Proof. Since $I(10) = \{2x + 1 | 1 \leq x \leq 7\}$. Let $K_{10} = K_9 \oplus K_{1,9}$. Then by 3.5 and 2.4, we have $M(K_{10}) \supseteq \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12\} + \{3\} = \{3, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15\}$. Let $V(K_{10}) = \{1, \dots, 10\}$. Then the required cycles and stars for the case $(\alpha, \beta) = (5, 5)$ are $(2, 4, 10, 7, 5, 9)$, $(2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 1)$, $(2, 5, 8, 3, 1, 7)$, $(10, 3, 6, 8, 7, 9)$, $(10, 6, 5, 1, 4, 8)$, $(1; 6, 8, 10)$, $(2; 6, 8, 10)$, $(5; 3, 4, 10)$, $(7; 3, 4, 6)$, $(9; 3, 4, 8)$. Hence, $M(K_{10}) = I(10) = \{2x + 1 | 1 \leq x \leq 7\}$. □

Lemma 3.7. $M(K_{12}) = I(12)$.

Proof. Since $I(12) = \{2x | 2 \leq x \leq 11\} \cup \{0\}$. We write $K_{12} = K_9 \oplus K_3 \oplus K_{3,9} = K_9 \oplus (K_3 \cup K_{3,9}) = K_9 \oplus H$, where $H = K_3 \cup K_{3,9}$. Let $V(H) = \{1, \dots, 12\}$. Then the decomposition of H for $(\alpha, \beta) = (2, 6)$ is as follows: $(12, 2, 10, 4, 11, 3)$, $(12, 5, 11, 9, 10, 8)$, $(1; 10, 11, 12)$, $(6; 10, 11, 12)$, $(7; 10, 11, 12)$, $(10; 3, 5, 11)$, $(11; 2, 8, 12)$, $(12; 4, 9, 10)$. Thus $\{6\} \subseteq M(H)$. From the above case and by Lemma 3.5, we get $(\alpha, \beta) = \{(8, 6), (5, 12)\}$. Thus $\{6, 12\} \subseteq M(K_{12})$. To show $\{10, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22\} \subseteq M(K_{12})$, we let $K_{12} = 2K_6 \oplus K_{6,6}$ and apply Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 we get $\{2x | 3 \leq x \leq 11 \ \& \ x \neq 4\} \subseteq M(K_{12})$. We complete the remaining proof in three cases.

- (i) $\alpha = 11$ and $\beta = 0$:
By 2.1, K_{12} has an $11C_3$ -decomposition
- (ii) $\alpha = 9$ and $\beta = 4$: The required cycles and stars are $(1, 3, 12, 4, 11, 5)$, $(1, 6, 11, 3, 9, 2)$, $(1, 11, 7, 4, 9, 10)$, $(1, 9, 7, 6, 8, 12)$, $(2, 10, 8, 7, 5, 3)$, $(2, 11, 10, 7, 12, 6)$, $(2, 12, 5, 6, 10, 4)$, $(3, 10, 5, 9, 8, 4)$, $(4, 6, 9, 11, 8, 5)$, $(1; 4, 7, 8)$, $(2; 5, 7, 8)$, $(3; 6, 7, 8)$, $(12; 9, 10, 11)$.
- (iii) $\alpha = 7$ and $\beta = 8$: The required cycles and stars are $(1, 6, 2, 9, 11, 7)$, $(1, 5, 2, 7, 3, 10)$, $(1, 3, 9, 5, 10, 11)$, $(9, 10, 2, 1, 12, 7)$, $(10, 7, 6, 11, 2, 8)$, $(10, 4, 7, 8, 5, 6)$, $(12, 2, 3, 8, 1, 9)$, $(3; 4, 6, 11)$, $(4; 1, 2, 8)$,

(4; 5, 9, 11), (5; 3, 7, 11), (6; 4, 9, 12), (8; 6, 9, 11), (12; 3, 4, 5), (12; 8, 10, 11).
 Therefore $M(K_{12}) = I(12) = \{2x|2 \leq x \leq 11\} \cup \{0\}$. □

Lemma 3.8. $M(K_{13}) = I(13)$.

Proof. Since $I(13) = \{2x|2 \leq x \leq 13\}$. We can write $K_{13} = K_{12} \oplus K_1 \oplus K_{1,3}$. Then by Lemma 3.7 and 2.4, we have $\{2x|4 \leq x \leq 13\} \in M(K_{13})$. Let $V(H) = \{1, \dots, 13\}$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) = \{(5, 4), (4, 6), (13, 0)\}$ and the decomposition of H is given in cases as follows:

(i) $\alpha = 13$ and $\beta = 0$:

By 2.1, K_{13} has $13C_3$ -decomposition

(ii) $\alpha = 5$ and $\beta = 4$: The required cycles and stars are

(13, 1, 12, 2, 11, 3), (13, 2, 10, 3, 12, 4), (13, 5, 10, 4, 11, 8), (13, 6, 12, 5, 11, 7),

(12, 7, 10, 6, 11, 9), (10; 1, 8, 9), (11; 1, 10, 12), (12; 8, 10, 13), (13; 9, 10, 11).

(iii) The decomposition of K_{13} as $K_9 \oplus K_4 \oplus K_{4,9} = K_9 \oplus H$, where $H = K_4 \oplus K_{4,9}$. $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = 6$:

The required cycles and stars are

(13, 1, 12, 2, 11, 3), (13, 2, 10, 3, 12, 4), (13, 5, 10, 4, 11, 8), (13, 6, 12, 5, 11, 7), (10; 7, 8, 9),

(10; 1, 6, 11), (12; 9, 10, 11), (11; 1, 6, 9), (12; 7, 8, 13), (13; 9, 10, 11). Thus $\{4, 6\} \in M(H)$. From the above

case and by 3.5, we get $(\alpha, \beta) = \{(5, 4), (4, 6)\} + (6, 0) = \{(11, 4), (10, 6)\}$. Hence, $\{4, 6\} \in M(K_{13})$.

Therefore $M(K_{13}) = I(13) = \{2x|2 \leq x \leq 13\} \cup \{0\}$. □

Lemma 3.9. $M(K_{15}) = I(15)$.

Proof. Since $I(15) = \{2x + 1|1 \leq x \leq 17\}$. We write $K_{15} = K_9 \oplus K_7 \oplus K_{8,6} = K_9 \oplus K_7 \oplus 2K_{4,6}$. Then $M(K_{15}) \supseteq M(K_9) + M(K_7) + 2M(K_{4,6}) = \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12\} + \{5, 7\} + 2\{0, 8\} = \{2x + 1|2 \leq x \leq 17\}$. We can write $K_{15} = K_9 \oplus K_6 \oplus K_{9,6} = K_9 \oplus H$, where $H = (K_6 \cup K_{9,6})$. Let

$V(H) = \{1, \dots, 15\}$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) = (10, 3)$ and the decomposition of H is given as follows:

(15, 1, 14, 2, 13, 3), (15, 2, 12, 1, 13, 4), (15, 5, 14, 3, 12, 6), (15, 7, 14, 4, 12, 8),

(15, 9, 14, 6, 13, 10), (15, 11, 1, 10, 5, 12), (14, 11, 2, 10, 7, 13), (14, 10, 6, 11, 7, 12),

(14, 15, 13, 12, 11, 8), (11, 3, 10, 8, 13, 5), (9; 11, 12, 13), (10; 4, 9, 12), (11; 4, 10, 13). Thus $\{3\} \in M(H)$.

From the above case and by Lemma 3.5, we get $(\alpha, \beta) = (10, 3) + (6, 0) = (16, 3)$. Thus $\{3\} \in M(K_{15})$.

Therefore $M(K_{15}) = I(15) = \{2x|1 \leq x \leq 17\}$. □

Lemma 3.10. $M(K_{16}) = I(16)$.

Proof. Since $I(16) = \{2x|2 \leq x \leq 20\}$. Since $K_{16} = K_{10} \oplus K_6 \oplus K_{4,6} \oplus K_{6,6}$. Then $M(K_{16}) \supseteq M(K_{10}) + M(K_6) + M(K_{4,6}) + M(K_{6,6}) = \{2x+1|1 \leq x \leq 7\} + \{5\} + \{0, 8\} + \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12\} = \{2x|4 \leq x \leq 20\}$. Let $V(K_{16}) = \{1, \dots, 16\}$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) = \{(18, 4), (17, 6)\}$ and we decompose K_{16} in three cases as follows:

(i) $\alpha = 20$ and $\beta = 0$:

By 2.1, K_{16} has $20C_3$ -decomposition.

(ii) $\alpha = 18$ and $\beta = 4$: Let $S_3^1 = (13; 10, 11, 12)$, $S_3^2 = (14; 7, 8, 9)$, $S_3^3 = (15; 4, 5, 6)$ and $S_3^4 = (16; 1, 2, 3)$ are $4S_3$'s in K_{16} . Let $H = \cup_{i=1}^4 S_3^i$ is a forest. Then by 2.5, the graph $K_{16} \setminus H$ can be decomposed into $18C_6$. Thus $\{4\} \in M(K_{16})$.

(iii) $\alpha = 17$ and $\beta = 6$: Let $K_{16} = K_{13} \oplus K_3 \oplus K_{13,3} = K_{13} \oplus H$, where $H = (K_3 \oplus K_{13,3})$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) = (4, 6)$, we can decompose H into $4C_6$ and $6S_3$ as follows:

(16, 1, 15, 3, 14, 4), (16, 2, 15, 5, 14, 6),

(16, 7, 15, 8, 14, 9), (16, 10, 15, 11, 14, 12) (14; 1, 2, 7), (14; 10, 13, 15), (15; 4, 6, 16), (15; 9, 12, 13),

(16; 3, 5, 8), (16; 11, 13, 14). From the above case and by 1.1, we get $\{6\} \in M(H)$ and $\{0\} \in M(K_{13})$

respectively. Hence, $\{6\} \in M(K_{16})$. Therefore $M(K_{16}) = I(16) = \{2x|2 \leq x \leq 20\} \cup \{0\}$. □

Lemma 3.11. $M(K_{18}) = I(18)$.

Proof. Since $I(18) = \{2x + 1|2 \leq x \leq 25\}$. We can write $K_{18} = K_{12} \oplus K_6 \oplus 2K_{6,6}$. Then $M(K_{18}) \supseteq M(K_{12}) + M(K_6) + 2M(K_{6,6}) = \{2x|2 \leq x \leq 11\} + \{5\} + 2\{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12\} = \{2x + 1|4 \leq x \leq 25\}$, by Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.7. Let $V(K_{16}) = \{1, \dots, 16\}$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) = \{(23, 5), (22, 7)\}$. We decompose K_{18} as follows: (i) Let $S_3^1 = (16; 7, 8, 9)$, $S_3^2 = (16; 10, 11, 12)$, $S_3^3 = (16; 13, 14, 15)$, $S_3^4 = (17; 4, 5, 6)$ and $S_3^5 = (18; 1, 2, 3)$ are $5S_3$'s in K_{18} . Let $H_1 = \cup_{i=1}^5 S_3^i$ is a forest. Then by 2.5, the graph $K_{18} \setminus H_1$

can be decomposed into $23C_6$. Thus $\{5\} \in M(K_{16})$. (ii) Let $S_3^1 = (1; 2, 3, 4)$, $S_3^2 = (1; 14, 15, 16)$, $S_3^3 = (16; 13, 14, 15)$, $S_3^4 = (17; 8, 9, 10)$, $S_3^5 = (17; 11, 12, 13)$, $S_3^6 = (17; 14, 15, 16)$ and $S_3^7 = (18; 5, 6, 7)$ are $7S_3$'s in K_{18} . Let $H_2 = \cup_{i=1}^7 S_3^i$ is a forest. Then by 2.5, the graph $K_{18} \setminus H_2$ can be decomposed into $22C_6$. Thus $\{7\} \in M(K_{16})$. Therefore $M(K_{18}) = I(18) = \{2x + 1 | 2 \leq x \leq 25\}$. \square

4. Main results

In this section, we prove that K_n can be decomposed into α copies of C_6 and β copies of S_3 for all positive integer $n \geq 6$, where $6\alpha + 3\beta = \binom{n}{2}$, $\beta \neq 1, 2$ when n is odd, and $\beta \geq \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$ when n is even.

Lemma 4.1. $M(K_{12r}) = I(12r)$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof. Necessity: The condition $M(K_{12r}) \subseteq I(12r)$ is trivial, by 1.1. Sufficiency: We have to prove that $M(K_{12r}) \supseteq I(12r)$. The proof is by induction on r . If $r = 1$, then $M(K_{12}) = I(12)$, by Lemma 3.7. We write $K_{12r+12} = K_{12r} \oplus K_{12} \oplus K_{12r,12} = K_{12r} \oplus K_{12} \oplus (6r)K_{4,6}$. From the definition of $I(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(24s) &= \left\{ \beta | \beta = \frac{(24s)(24s-1)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s)(24s-1)}{12} - 3s \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ &= \{2x | 3s \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 - s)\}. \\ I(24s + 12) &= \left\{ \beta | \beta = \frac{(24s+12)(24s+11)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s+12)(24s+11)}{12} - \frac{(24s+12)}{8} \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ &= \left\{ 2x + 2 \mid \left\lceil \frac{6s+1}{2} \right\rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 23s + 5) \right\}. \\ I(24s + 24) &= \left\{ \beta | \beta = \frac{(24s+24)(24s+23)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s+24)(24s+23)}{12} - (3s+3) \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ &= \left\{ 2x \mid \left\lceil \frac{6s+5}{2} \right\rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 47s + 23) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. If $r = 2s$, then $K_{24s+12} = K_{24s} \oplus K_{12} \oplus (12s)K_{4,6}$. By the induction hypothesis, and Lemmas 3.1, 3.7, we have $M(K_{24s+12}) \supseteq M(K_{24s}) + M(K_{12}) + (12s)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x | 3s \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 - s)\} + \{2x | 2 \leq x \leq 11\} \cup \{0\} + (12s)\{0, 8\} = \{2y + 2 | \lceil \frac{6s+1}{2} \rceil \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 23s + 5)\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+12}) = I(24s + 12)$.

Case 2. If $r = 2s + 1$, then $K_{24s+24} = K_{24s+12} \oplus K_{12} \oplus (12s + 6)K_{4,6}$. By Case 1, and Lemmas 3.1, 3.7, we have $M(K_{24s+24}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+12}) + M(K_{12}) + (12s + 6)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x + 2 | \lceil \frac{6s+1}{2} \rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 23s + 5)\} + \{2x | 2 \leq x \leq 11\} + (12s + 6)\{0, 8\} = \{2y | \lceil \frac{6s+5}{2} \rceil \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 47s + 23)\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+24}) = I(24s + 24)$. Thus $M(K_{12r}) = I(12r)$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. \square

Lemma 4.2. $M(K_{12r+1}) = I(12r + 1)$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof. Necessity: The condition $M(K_{12r+1}) \subseteq I(12r + 1)$ is trivial, by 1.1. Sufficiency: We have to prove that $M(K_{12r+1}) \supseteq I(12r + 1)$. The proof is by induction on r . If $r = 1$, then $M(K_{13}) = I(13)$, by Lemma 3.8. We can write $K_{12r+13} = K_{12r+1} \oplus K_{13} \oplus K_{12r,12} = K_{12r+1} \oplus K_{13} \oplus (6r)K_{4,6}$. From the definition of $I(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(24s + 1) &= \left\{ \beta | \beta = \frac{(24s+1)(24s)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s+1)(24s)}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x | 0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + s), x \neq 1\}. \\ I(24s + 13) &= \left\{ \beta | \beta = \frac{(24s+13)(24s+12)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s+13)(24s+12)}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x | 0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 25s + 6) + 1, x \neq 1\}. \\ I(24s + 25) &= \left\{ \beta | \beta = \frac{(24s+25)(24s+24)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s+25)(24s+24)}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x | 0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 49s + 25), x \neq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. If $r = 2s$, then $K_{24s+13} = K_{24s+1} \oplus K_{13} \oplus (12s)K_{4,6}$. By the induction hypothesis, and Lemmas

3.1, 3.8, we have $M(K_{24s+13}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+1}) + M(K_{13}) + (12s)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x|0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + s), x \neq 1\} + \{2x|2 \leq x \leq 13\} \cup \{0\} + (12s)\{0, 8\} = \{2y|0 \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 25s + 6) + 1, y \neq 1\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+13}) = I(24s + 13)$.

Case 2. If $r = 2s + 1$, then $K_{24s+25} = K_{24s+13} \oplus K_{13} \oplus (12s + 6)K_{4,6}$. By Case 1, and Lemmas 3.1, 3.8, we have $M(K_{24s+25}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+13}) + M(K_{13}) + (12s + 6)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x|0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 25s + 6) + 1, x \neq 1\} + \{2x|2 \leq x \leq 13\} + (12s + 6)\{0, 8\} = \{2y|0 \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 49s + 25), y \neq 1\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+25}) = I(24s + 25)$. Thus $M(K_{12r+1}) = I(12r + 1)$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. \square

Lemma 4.3. $M(K_{12r+3}) = I(12r + 3)$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof. Necessity: The condition $M(K_{12r+3}) \subseteq I(12r + 3)$ is trivial, by 1.1. Sufficiency: We have to prove $M(K_{12r+3}) \supseteq I(12r + 3)$. The proof is by induction on r . If $r = 1$, then $M(K_{15}) = I(15)$, by Lemma 3.9. We write $K_{12r+3} = K_{12r-5} \oplus K_9 \oplus 2(2r - 1)K_{4,6}$. From the definition of $I(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(24s - 5) &= \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{(24s - 5)(24s - 6)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s - 5)(24s - 6) - 12}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x + 1 \mid 1 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 - 11s + 1)\}. \\ I(24s + 3) &= \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{(24s + 3)(24s + 2)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 3)(24s + 2) - 12}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x + 1 \mid 1 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 5s)\}. \\ I(24s + 7) &= \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{(24s + 7)(24s + 6)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 7)(24s + 6) - 12}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x + 3 \mid 0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 13s + 1)\}. \\ I(24s + 15) &= \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{(24s + 15)(24s + 14)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 15)(24s + 14) - 12}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x + 3 \mid 0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 29s + 8)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. If $r = 2s$, then $K_{24s+3} = K_{24s-9} \oplus K_{13} \oplus (12s - 4)K_{4,6}$. By the induction hypothesis, and by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.5, we have $M(K_{24s+3}) \supseteq M(K_{12r-5}) \oplus M(K_9) \oplus 2(2r - 1)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x + 1 \mid 1 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 - 11s + 1)\} + \{2x \mid 2 \leq x \leq 13\} + (12s - 4)\{0, 8\} = \{2y + 1 \mid 1 \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 5s)\}$. Therefore, $M(K_{24s+3}) = I(24s + 3)$.

Case 2. If $r = 2s + 1$, then $K_{24s+15} = K_{24s+7} \oplus K_9 \oplus 2(4s + 1)K_{4,6}$. By Case 1, and by Lemmas 3.1, 3.5, we have $M(K_{24s+15}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+4}) + M(K_9) + 2(4s + 1)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x + 3 \mid 1 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 13s + 1)\} + \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12\} + 2(4s + 1)\{0, 8\} = \{2y + 3 \mid 0 \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 29s + 8)\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+15}) = I(24s + 15)$. Thus $M(K_{12r+3}) = I(12r + 3)$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. \square

Lemma 4.4. $M(K_{12r+4}) = I(12r + 4)$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof. Necessity: The condition $M(K_{12r+4}) \subseteq I(12r + 4)$ is trivial, by 1.1. Sufficiency: We have to prove that $M(K_{12r+4}) \supseteq I(12r + 4)$. The proof is by induction on r . If $r = 1$, then $M(K_{16}) = I(16)$, by Lemma 3.10. We write $K_{12r+4} = K_{12r+4} \oplus K_{12} \oplus K_{12r+4,12} = K_{12r+4} \oplus K_{12} \oplus (6r + 2)K_{4,6}$. From the definition of $I(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(24s + 4) &= \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{(24s + 4)(24s + 3)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 4)(24s + 3)}{12} - \frac{(24s + 4)}{8} \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ &= \{2x + 2 \mid 3s \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 7s)\}. \\ I(24s + 16) &= \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{(24s + 16)(24s + 15)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 16)(24s + 15)}{12} - \frac{(24s + 16)}{8} \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ &= \left\{ 2x \mid \left\lceil \frac{6s + 3}{2} \right\rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 31s + 10) \right\}. \\ I(24s + 28) &= \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{(24s + 28)(24s + 27)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 28)(24s + 27)}{12} - \frac{(24s + 28)}{8} \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ &= \left\{ 2x + 2 \mid \left\lceil \frac{6s + 5}{2} \right\rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 55s + 31) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. If $r = 2s$, then $K_{24s+16} = K_{24s+4} \oplus K_{12} \oplus (12s + 2)K_{4,6}$. By the induction hypothesis, and

Lemmas 3.1, 3.7, we have $M(K_{24s+16}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+4}) + M(K_{12}) + (12s + 2)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x + 2 | 3s \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 7s)\} + \{2x | 2 \leq x \leq 11\} \cup \{0\} + (12s + 2)\{0, 8\} = \{2y | \lceil \frac{6s+3}{2} \rceil \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 31s + 10)\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+16}) = I(24s + 16)$.

Case 2. If $r = 2s + 1$, then $K_{24s+28} = K_{24s+16} \oplus K_{12} \oplus (12s + 8)K_{4,6}$. By Case 1, and Lemmas 3.1, 3.7, we have $M(K_{24s+28}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+16}) + M(K_{12}) + (12s + 8)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x | \lceil \frac{6s+3}{2} \rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 31s + 10)\} + \{2x | 2 \leq x \leq 11\} \cup \{0\} + (12s + 8)\{0, 8\} = \{2y + 2 | \lceil \frac{6s+5}{2} \rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 55s + 31)\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+28}) = I(24s + 28)$. Thus $M(K_{12r+4}) = I(12r + 4)$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. \square

Lemma 4.5. $M(K_{12r+6}) = I(12r + 6)$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. Necessity: The condition $M(K_{12r+6}) \subseteq I(12r + 6)$ is trivial, by 1.1. Sufficiency: We have to prove that $M(K_{12r+6}) \supseteq I(12r + 6)$. The proof is by induction on r . If $r = 0$ and $r = 1$, then $M(K_k) = I(k)$, where $k = 6, 18$ by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.11. We can write $K_{12r+18} = K_{12r+6} \oplus K_{12} \oplus K_{12r+6,12} = K_{12r+6} \oplus K_{12} \oplus (6r + 3)K_{4,6}$. From the definition of $I(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(24s + 6) &= \left\{ \beta | \beta = \frac{(24s + 6)(24s + 5)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 6)(24s + 5)}{12} - \frac{(24s + 6)}{8} \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ &= \left\{ 2x + 1 | \left\lceil \frac{6s + 1}{2} \right\rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 11s + 1) \right\}. \\ I(24s + 18) &= \left\{ \beta | \beta = \frac{(24s + 18)(24s + 17)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 18)(24s + 17)}{12} - \frac{(24s + 18)}{8} \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ &= \left\{ 2x + 3 | \left\lceil \frac{6s + 1}{2} \right\rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 35s + 12) \right\}. \\ I(24s + 30) &= \left\{ \beta | \beta = \frac{(24s + 30)(24s + 29)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 30)(24s + 29)}{12} - \frac{(24s + 30)}{8} \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ &= \left\{ 2x + 1 | \left\lceil \frac{6s + 7}{2} \right\rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 59s + 36) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. If $r = 2s$, then $K_{24s+18} = K_{24s+6} \oplus K_{12} \oplus (12s + 3)K_{4,6}$. By the induction hypothesis, and Lemmas 3.1, 3.7, we have $M(K_{24s+18}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+6}) + M(K_{12}) + (12s + 3)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x + 1 | \lceil \frac{6s+1}{2} \rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 11s + 1)\} + \{2x | 2 \leq x \leq 11\} \cup \{0\} + (12s + 3)\{0, 8\} = \{2y + 3 | \lceil \frac{6s+1}{2} \rceil \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 35s + 12)\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+18}) = I(24s + 18)$.

Case 2. If $r = 2s + 1$, then $K_{24s+30} = K_{24s+18} \oplus K_{12} \oplus (12s + 9)K_{4,6}$. By Case 1, and Lemmas 3.1, 3.7, we have $M(K_{24s+30}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+18}) + M(K_{12}) + (12s + 9)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x + 3 | \lceil \frac{6s+1}{2} \rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 35s + 12)\} + \{2x | 2 \leq x \leq 11\} \cup \{0\} + (12s + 9)\{0, 8\} = \{2y + 1 | \lceil \frac{6s+7}{2} \rceil \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 59s + 36)\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+30}) = I(24s + 30)$. Thus $M(K_{12r+6}) = I(12r + 6)$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$. \square

Lemma 4.6. $M(K_{12r+7}) = I(12r + 7)$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. Necessity: The condition $M(K_{12r+7}) \subseteq I(12r + 7)$ is trivial, by 1.1. Sufficiency: We have to prove that $M(K_{12r+7}) \supseteq I(12r + 7)$. The proof is by induction on r . If $r = 0$, then $M(K_7) = I(7)$, by Lemma 3.4. We can write $K_{12r+19} = K_{12r+7} \oplus K_{13} \oplus K_{12r+6,12} = K_{12r+7} \oplus K_{13} \oplus (6r + 3)K_{4,6}$. From the definition of $I(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(24s + 7) &= \left\{ \beta | \beta = \frac{(24s + 7)(24s + 6)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 7)(24s + 6) - 12}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x + 3 | 0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 13s + 1)\}. \\ I(24s + 19) &= \left\{ \beta | \beta = \frac{(24s + 19)(24s + 18)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 19)(24s + 18) - 12}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x + 3 | 0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 37s + 13) + 1\}. \\ I(24s + 31) &= \left\{ \beta | \beta = \frac{(24s + 31)(24s + 30)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 31)(24s + 30) - 12}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x + 3 | 0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 61s + 38)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. If $r = 2s$, then $K_{24s+19} = K_{24s+7} \oplus K_{13} \oplus (12s + 3)K_{4,6}$. By induction hypothesis, and Lemmas 3.1, 3.8, we have $M(K_{24s+19}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+7}) + M(K_{13}) + (12s + 3)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x + 3 | 0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 13s + 1)\} + \{2x + 3 | 0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 37s + 13) + 1\} + (12s + 3)\{0, 8\}$.

$2(24s^2 + 13s + 1)\} + \{2x|2 \leq x \leq 13\} \cup \{0\} + (12s + 3)\{0, 8\} = \{2y + 3|0 \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 37s + 13) + 1\}$.
Therefore $M(K_{24s+19}) = I(24s + 19)$.

Case 2. If $r = 2s + 1$, then $K_{24s+31} = K_{24s+19} \oplus K_{13} \oplus (12s + 9)K_{4,6}$. By Case 1, and Lemmas 3.1, 3.8, we have $M(K_{24s+31}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+19}) + M(K_{13}) + (12s + 9)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x + 3|0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 37s + 13) + 1\} + \{2x|2 \leq x \leq 13\} \cup \{0\} + (12s + 9)\{0, 8\} = \{2y + 3|0 \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 61s + 38)\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+31}) = I(24s + 31)$. Thus $M(K_{12r+7}) = I(12r + 7)$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$. \square

Lemma 4.7. $M(K_{12r+9}) = I(12r + 9)$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. Necessity: The condition $M(K_{12r+9}) \subseteq I(12r + 9)$ is trivial, by 1.1. Sufficiency: We have to prove that $M(K_{12r+9}) \supseteq I(12r + 9)$. The proof is by induction on r . If $r = 0$, then $M(K_9) = I(9)$, by Lemma 3.5. We can write $K_{12r+21} = K_{12r+9} \oplus K_{13} \oplus K_{12r+8,12} = K_{12r+9} \oplus K_{13} \oplus (6r + 4)K_{4,6}$. From the definition of $I(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(24s + 9) &= \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{(24s + 9)(24s + 8)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 9)(24s + 8)}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x|0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 17s + 3), x \neq 1\}. \\ I(24s + 21) &= \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{(24s + 21)(24s + 20)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 21)(24s + 20)}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x|0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 41s + 17) + 1, x \neq 1\}. \\ I(24s + 33) &= \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{(24s + 33)(24s + 32)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 33)(24s + 32)}{12} \right\rfloor, \beta \neq 1, 2 \right\}, \\ &= \{2x|0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 65s + 44), x \neq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. If $r = 2s$, then $K_{24s+21} = K_{24s+9} \oplus K_{13} \oplus (12s + 4)K_{4,6}$. By the induction hypothesis, and Lemmas 3.1, 3.8, we have $M(K_{24s+21}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+9}) + M(K_{13}) + (12s + 4)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x|0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 17s + 3), x \neq 1\} + \{2x|2 \leq x \leq 13\} \cup \{0\} + (12s + 4)\{0, 8\} = \{2y|0 \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 41s + 17) + 1, y \neq 1\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+21}) = I(24s + 21)$.

Case 2. If $r = 2s + 1$, then $K_{24s+33} = K_{24s+21} \oplus K_{13} \oplus (12s + 10)K_{4,6}$. By Case 1, and Lemmas 3.1, 3.8, we have $M(K_{24s+33}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+21}) + M(K_{13}) + (12s + 10)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x|0 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 41s + 17) + 1, x \neq 1\} + \{2x|2 \leq x \leq 13\} \cup \{0\} + (12s + 10)\{0, 8\} = \{2y|0 \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 65s + 44), y \neq 1\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+33}) = I(24s + 33)$. Thus $M(K_{12r+9}) = I(12r + 9)$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$. \square

Lemma 4.8. $M(K_{12r+10}) = I(12r + 10)$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. Necessity: The condition $M(K_{12r+10}) \subseteq I(12r + 10)$ is trivial, by 1.1. Sufficiency: We have to prove that $M(K_{12r+10}) \supseteq I(12r + 10)$. The proof is by induction on r . If $r = 0$, then $M(K_{10}) = I(10)$, by Lemma 3.6. We write $K_{12r+10} = K_{12r-8} \oplus K_{18} \oplus K_{12r-8,18} = K_{12r-8} \oplus K_{18} \oplus (9r - 6)K_{4,6}$. From the definition of $I(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(24s - 8) &= \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{(24s - 8)(24s - 9)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s - 8)(24s - 9)}{12} - \frac{(24s - 8)}{8} \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ &= \left\{ 2x \mid \left\lceil \frac{6s - 3}{2} \right\rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 - 17s + 3) \right\}. \\ I(24s + 10) &= \left\{ \beta \mid \beta = \frac{(24s + 10)(24s + 9)}{6} - 2i, 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(24s + 10)(24s + 9)}{12} - \frac{(24s + 10)}{8} \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ &= \{2x + 3|3s \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 19s + 3)\}. \\ I(24s + 22) &= \{2x + 3|3s + 2 \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 + 43s + 18) + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. If $r = 2s$, then $K_{24s+10} = K_{24s-8} \oplus K_{18} \oplus (18s - 6)K_{4,6}$. By the induction hypothesis, and Lemmas 3.1 and 3.11, we have $M(K_{24s+10}) \supseteq M(K_{24s-8}) + M(K_{18}) + (18s - 6)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x \mid \lceil \frac{6s+3}{2} \rceil \leq x \leq 2(24s^2 - 17s + 3)\} + \{2x + 1|2 \leq x \leq 25\} + (18s - 6)\{0, 8\} = \{2y + 3|3s \leq y \leq 2(24s^2 + 19s + 3)\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+10}) = I(24s + 10)$.

Case 2. If $r = 2s + 1$, then $K_{24s+22} = K_{24s+4} \oplus K_{18} \oplus (18s + 3)K_{4,6}$. By Lemmas 3.1, 3.11 and 4.4, we have

$M(K_{24s+22}) \supseteq M(K_{24s+4}) + M(K_{18}) + (18s+3)M(K_{4,6}) = \{2x+2 \mid 3s \leq x \leq 2(24s^2+7s)\} + \{2x+1 \mid 2 \leq x \leq 25\} + (18s+3)\{0,8\} = \{2y+3 \mid 3s \leq y \leq 2(24s^2+19s+3)\}$. Therefore $M(K_{24s+22}) = I(24s+22)$. Thus $M(K_{12r+10}) = I(12r+10)$, for all integer $n \geq 6$. \square

The consequences of 4.1 to 4.8 implies our main result as follows.

Theorem 4.9. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$, and $6 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. K_n has a $\{C_6, S_3\}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ -decomposition if and only if $6\alpha + 3\beta = \binom{n}{2}$, $\beta \neq 1, 2$ when n is odd, and $\beta \geq \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$ when n is even. That is, $M(K_n) = I(n)$, where $6 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof. Necessity follows from Theorem 1.1 and the sufficiency follows from our results in Lemmas 4.1 to 4.8. \square

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to all the reviewers for their insightful comments and constructive recommendations, which have greatly contributed to the improvement of this work.

References

- [1] A. A. Abueida and M. Daven, Multidesigns for graph-pairs of order 4 and 5, *Graphs Combin.* 19 (2003) 433-447.
- [2] A.A. Abueida and M. Daven, Multidecompositions of the complete graph, *Ars Combin.* 72 (2004) 17-22.
- [3] A. A. Abueida and M. Daven, Multidecompositions of several graph products, *Graphs Combin.* 29 (2013) 315-326.
- [4] A. A. Abueida, M. Daven and K.J. Roblee, Multidesigns of the λ -fold complete graph-pairs of orders 4 and 5, *Australas. J. Combin.* 32 (2005) 125-136.
- [5] A. A. Abueida and T. O'Neil, Multidecomposition of $K_m(\lambda)$ into small cycles and claws, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* 49 (2007) 32-40.
- [6] A. A. Abueida and C. Lian, On the decompositions of complete graphs into cycles and stars on the same number of edges, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 34 (2014) 113-125.
- [7] B. Alspach and H. Gavlas, Cycle decompositions of K_n and $K_n - I$, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.* 81 (2001) 77-99.
- [8] D. J. Ashe, H. L. Fu and C. A. Rodger, A solution to the forest leave problem for partial 6-cycles systems, *Discrete Math.* 281 (2004) 27-41.
- [9] R. Balakrishnan and K. Ranganathan, A text Book of Graph theory 2nd edn. (Springer New York 2012).
- [10] F. Beggas, H. Haddad and H. Kheddouci, Decomposition of λK_N into stars and cycles, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 35 (2015) 629-639.
- [11] C. M. Fu, Yu-Fong Hsu and Ming-Feng Lee, Decomposition of complete graphs into 4-cycles and 3-stars, *Utilitas Mathematica* 106 (2018) 271-288.
- [12] C. M. Fu, Y. L. Lin, S-W Lo and Yu-F. Hsu, Decomposition of complete graphs into triangles and claws, *Taiwanese J. of Math.* 18 (2014) 1563-1581.
- [13] S. Jeevadoss and A. Muthusamy, Decomposition of complete bipartite graphs into paths and cycles, *Discrete Math.* 331 (2014) 98-108.
- [14] S. Jeevadoss and A. Muthusamy, Decomposition of complete bipartite multigraphs into paths and cycles having k edges, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 35 (2015) 715-731.
- [15] H.-C. Lee, Multidecompositions of complete bipartite graphs into cycles and stars, *Ars Combin.* 108 (2013) 355-364.
- [16] H.-C. Lee and Y.-P. Chu, Multidecompositions of the balanced complete bipartite graphs into paths and stars, *ISRN Combinatorics* (2013).

- [17] H.-C. Lee and J.-J. Lin, Decomposition of complete bipartite graphs with a 1-factor removed into cycles and stars, *Discrete Math.* 313 (2013) 2354-2358.
- [18] H.M. Priyadharsini and A. Muthusamy, (G_n, H_n) -Multifactorization of λK_n , *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 69 (2009) 145-150.
- [19] H.M. Priyadharsini and A. Muthusamy, (G_n, H_n) -multidecomposition of $K_{n,n}(\lambda)$, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* 66 (2012) 42-48.
- [20] H.M. Priyadharsini and A. Muthusamy, (K, H) -multifactorization of $\lambda K_{n,n}$, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* 10 (2013) 405-413.
- [21] M. Sajna, Cycle decompositions III: Complete graphs and fixed length cycles, *J. Combin. Des.* 10 (2002) 27-78.
- [22] T.-W. Shyu, Decompositions of complete graphs into paths and cycles, *Ars Combin.* 97 (2010) 257-270.
- [23] T.-W. Shyu, Decompositions of complete graphs into paths and stars, *Discrete Math.* 330 (2010) 2164-2169.
- [24] T.-W. Shyu, Decompositions of complete graphs into paths of length three and triangles, *Ars Combin.* 107 (2012) 209-224.
- [25] T.-W. Shyu, Decomposition of complete graphs into cycles and stars, *Graphs Combin.* 29 (2013) 301-313.
- [26] T.-W. Shyu, Decomposition of complete bipartite graphs into paths and stars with same number of edges, *Discrete Math.* 313 (2013) 865-871.
- [27] D. Sotteau, Decomposition of $K_{m,n}(K_{m,n}^*)$ into cycles (circuits) of length $2k$, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.* 30 (1981) 75-81.
- [28] M. Tarsi, Decomposition of complete multigraph into stars, *Discrete Math.* 26 (1979) 273-278.
- [29] S. Yamamoto, H. Ikeda, S. Shige-ede, K. Ushio and N. Hamada, On claw decomposition of complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs, *Hiroshima Math.J.* 5 (1975) 33-42.